* Welcome
* Thank you for your recommendation.
* Before we start, can everyone tell me what their team roles were?
  1. Research roles: Pro-Researcher, Anti-Researcher, Subject-Matter Expert, and Free Speech Expert
  2. Functional Roles: Concept-Map Lead, Briefing-Paper Lead Bus-Stop-Ad Lead, and Revision/Feedback Coordinator
* Can you repeat your recommendation?
* Wwhat is the strongest argument in favor of it?
  1. Ask a question digging deeper the argument or support or mention conflicting facts
* What is the strongest argument against your recommendation?
* How close a call was this for you all? Did you have any difficulty reaching consensus?
* Was there any consideration that trumped everything else for you?
* How important in your decision was
  1. Privacy
     + Should people have mistakes follow them around forever
  2. Free Speech
     + If it wasn’t for the *First Amendment*, would your recommendation be different?
* What would be the negative outcome if the U.S. did the opposite of your recommendation?
* Use their briefing paper to ask some questions where things aren’t clear or there is a hole in their argument.
* Explain that you’ll give substantive feedback now on the briefing paper and then give them a marked up version with the rest of the comments [at the end of the meeting].
* Areas to provide substantive feedback on the briefing paper:
  1. Praise a strength of the briefing paper
  2. Is anything missing
  3. How could it be improved or the argument made stronger
  4. Are there any internal conflicts
  5. Is there anything that needs clarification or fleshing out
* Close on: What are your ideas for the bus-stop-issue ad?
* Thank you for your hard work.